







## Annex No.5 to the contract

## **Evaluation questionnaire**

for the participants of the Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange Programme Welcome to Poland Projects selected in the call for proposals in 2018.

1.	Name 	of the Applicant
2.	Title c	f the Project
3.	Applic	ration number:
4.	Please the pr	e indicate which types of activities (out of the following) were implemented as part of oject.
	a)	training support for teaching, scientific and administrative staff regarding the admission of students and staff from abroad
	b)	activities related to the Applicant's organisational preparation for serving foreign students
	c)	developing activities related to internationalisation "at home"
	d)	activities in shaping the attitudes of openness and tolerance in the academic environment and the social environment of the Applicant
	e)	organisation of a place dedicated for serving students and staff, the so-called Welcome Centre.

5. If in question 3, point a), for each of the areas of competence indicated below, please assess to what extent the trainings implemented as part of the project strengthened the competences of employees in a given area or indicate that a given area of competences was not covered in training.

If in question 3 answer a) was not indicated, please go to question No. 7

	Training within	Training with	• •	led the competences n area and:	of employees in a
area of competence	the project did not include the competences of employees in a given area	they did not significantly affect the level of competencies of employees in a given area	slightly affected the level of competencies of employees in a given area	clearly strengthened the competences of employees in a given area	very significantly strengthened the competences of employees in a given area
management					
intercultural					









communication			
language			
analytical			
IT			
Other, what?			

IT					
Other, what?					
Justification					
6. If in quest	ion 3, answer a)	was indicated, p	lease indicate the	e number of train	ing participants
				research staff and	
staff	·		_		
		f training participant	s in a given area		
area of competend	teaching and research state		total		
management					
intercultural					
communication					
language					
analytical					
IT					
Other, what?					
7. If in answ	er to question 3	more than one	type of action w	vas indicated, ple	ase assess, the
				applicant's instit	
			_	ic staff from abro	
	-				
Please ind	icate one type of	activity:			
Justification					
8. How do v	ou evaluate the	NAWA support p	rovided to the u	niversity at the ap	oplication stage
•	g the project imp				-1-1-0011-011-011-01
3113 331111	5e p. 0,000p				

a) evaluation of NAWA support at the application stage



No

Definitely

negative



Rather

negative



Rather positive

Difficult to say



Definitely

positive

	efinitely	Rather	1		Definitely
r	negative	negative	Difficult to say	Rather positive	positive
Justific	ation				
9. Wo	uld you like	to suggest any m	odifications or imp	rovements to the p	orogramme?
In term	s of:				
ne proce	edure of app	lying			
•••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
rocedui	es for the ev	valuation and sel	ection of applicatio	ns	
rocedui	es for the ev	aluation and sel	ection of applicatio	ns	
	res for the ev		ection of applicatio	ns	
			ection of applicatio	ns	
atalogui		e costs	ection of applicatio	ns	
atalogui	ng of eligible	e costs	ection of applicatio	ns	









To what extent did	make a general evalua the project increase tl ts, PhD students and a	he institutional capaci	ty of the Applicant in the
the project has not significantly increase institutional capacity of the Applicant	the project has slightly increased institutional capacity of the Applicant	the project has clearly increased institutional capacity of the Applicant	the project has very significantly increased institutional capacity of the Applicant