

Evaluation questionnaire
for scientists participating in the
“Solidarity with Belarus - Solidary with Scientists” NAWA Programme 2021

Dear Scientists,

this survey aims to learn about these effects of your projects implemented under the “Solidarity with Belarus - Solidary with Scientists” NAWA Programme 2021 (Programme), which were difficult to present in the final report, as well as to know your opinion on the stay in Poland and the Programme, and also to collect proposals for possible modifications of the Programme. The survey is not anonymous, however your individual answers will be available only to the NAWA unit dealing with the evaluation of the Agency's activities, while the other NAWA divisions will be able to view the results of the survey only in an anonymized form (without possibility of identification of the authors of the presented opinions).

*Thank you for completing the survey,
Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange*

information filled in automatically

This questionnaire refers to:

Ms/Mr:

Application reference number:

Host institution:

1. Please indicate what kind of activities you carried out during your stay under the Programme

Select several answers

- completing the first postdoctoral fellowship
- completing a subsequent postdoctoral fellowship
- conducting scientific research
- obtaining materials for research or a scientific publication
- conducting didactic classes at the host institution
- other activities; please indicate::

2. During your stay in Poland, were you involved in preparing a research grant application or a scientific publication?

Select several answers

- I was involved in preparing a research grant application
- I was involved as a co-author in preparing a scientific publication
- none of above

If "I was involved in preparing a research grant application":

3. How many grant applications prepared with your contribution were subsequently submitted to a competition?

- none
- 1
- 2 or more

If "1":

4. Please indicate the result of applying for the competition? Please enter the name of the competition.

- the application received funding:

- the application was rejected:

- the application is still in the process of evaluation:

If "2 or more":

5. What are the results of applying for competitions? Please indicate the number of submitted grant applications that: - received funding; - were rejected; - are still in the process of evaluation. Please enter the name of the competition for each result; If no application refers to a given result, please enter: "0" twice in the row.

	number of applications	competition name
received funding	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
were rejected	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
are still in the process of evaluation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

If "I was involved as a co-author in preparing a scientific publication"

6. How many scientific publications, prepared with your contribution as a co-author, were subsequently submitted to a journal or a publishing house?

- none
- 1
- 2 or more

If "1":

7. What is the result of submitting the scientific publication to the journal/publishing house

- the publication has been published or accepted by the journal/publishing house and is waiting to be published

- the publication was rejected

- the publication is under peer review

If "2 or more":

8. What are the results of submitting the publications to the journals/publishing houses? Please indicate the number of submitted publications that: - has been published or accepted; - were rejected; - are in the process of peer review. Please enter the name of the journal/publishing house for each case. If no publication refers to a given result, please enter: "0" twice

	number of publications	journal/publishing house name
has been published and accepted by a journal/publishing house	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
was rejected	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
is in the process of peer review	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

9. What are your career plans for the coming year?

- I plan to continue working in the institution which hosted me during my stay in Poland
- I plan to stay in Poland but I would like to work in another institution
- I plan to leave Poland and re-establish cooperation with the institution I was directly involved with prior to my stay in Poland
- I plan to leave Poland and establish cooperation with another institution
- none of the above

10. How would you rate the chances of continuing cooperation with the people you worked with during your stay in Poland over the next 12 months?

- I believe that the chances for that cooperation to continue are very high
- I believe that the chances for that cooperation to continue are moderate
- I believe that the chances for that cooperation to continue are small
- I believe that the cooperation will not be continued

If: "I believe that the chances for that cooperation to continue are very high" or "I believe that the chances for that cooperation to continue are moderate":

11. What areas of cooperation are most likely?

- continuation of research projects initiated during the stay
- implementation of new research projects
- applying for new research grants
- preparing a scientific publication
- other area of cooperation (please specify):

If the answer to the question: „Please indicate what kind of activities you carried out during your stay under the Programme includes at least one of the following:

*- completing the first postdoctoral fellowship; - completing a subsequent postdoctoral fellowship;
- conducting scientific research; - obtaining materials for research or a scientific publication:*

12. Please assess whether your stay in Poland under the Programme has strengthened your competence in conducting scientific research or not

- it has significantly strengthened my competence in this area
- it has moderately strengthened my competence in this area
- it has slightly strengthened my competence in this area
- it has not strengthened my competence in this area

If the answer to the question: „Please indicate what kind of activities you carried out during your stay under the Programme is: “conducting didactic classes at the host institution”:

13. Please assess whether your stay in Poland under the Programme has strengthened your teaching competence or not

- it has significantly strengthened my competence in this area
- it has moderately strengthened my competence in this area
- it has slightly strengthened my competence in this area
- it has not strengthened my competence in this area

14. Please assess whether your stay in Poland under the Programme has broadened your knowledge in the area of your scientific interests

- it has significantly broadened my knowledge in the area my scientific interests
- it has moderately broadened my knowledge in the area my scientific interests
- it has slightly broadened my knowledge in the area my scientific interests
- it has not broadened my knowledge in the area my scientific interests

15. How do you assess the usefulness of your stay in Poland to your further career?

- very useful
- useful
- moderately useful
- slightly useful
- not useful
- difficult to say

16. If your stay in Poland under the Programme had other (not mentioned above) effects (scientific or professional) that you consider relevant, please describe them below.

17. Please assess the extent to which the COVID-19 pandemic negatively affected your stay in Poland under the Programme

- Not at all
- Slightly
- Moderately
- Considerably
- A great deal

18. How do you rate the conditions of the stay offered to you by the host institution?

	positive	rather positive	neither positive, nor negative	rather negative	negative
Conditions for conducting research and scientific work (access to specialist equipment, laboratory, equipment for daily work)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The quality of service offered by the administrative staff of the HEI	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Degree to which the HEI's academic and scientific staff was open to cooperate with you and their overall commitment

19. How do you assess the contact with NAWA during your stay in Poland?

We encourage you to justify your answer

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below average
- Poor
- Not applicable (I had no contact with NAWA)

20. Would you like to suggest any modifications or improvements to the Programme?

financial conditions of the stay: _____
project implementation rules: _____
other areas of the Programme: _____

21. What was the greatest difficulty you faced when participating in the Programme (please consider both the application stage and the stage of stay)?

22. What do you consider to be the Programme's greatest advantage

23. How likely is it that you would recommend participation in the Programme to your colleagues from your university or scientific unit?

Not at all likely

Extremely likely

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10